organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

(Z)-N-[(Z)-3-(2,4-Dimethylphenylimino)butan-2-ylidene]-2,4-dimethylaniline

Jianchao Yuan,* Chengping Miao, Weibing Xu and **Bingnian Yuan**

Key Laboratory of Eco-Environment-Related Polymer Materials of the Ministry of Education, Key Laboratory of Polymer Materials of Gansu Province, College of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: jianchaoyuan@nwnu.edu.cn

Received 15 November 2011; accepted 10 December 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 296 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.052; wR factor = 0.175; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.3.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound, $C_{20}H_{24}N_2$, contains one half -molecule which exhibits a crystallographically imposed center of symmetry. The benzene rings are inclined to the 1,4-diazabutadiene mean plane by $78.3 (2)^{\circ}$.

Related literature

The title compound was synthesized as a α -diimine ligand for Ni^{II} - α -diimine olefin polymerization catalysts. For applications of α -diimine ligands, see: Johnson *et al.* (1995); Killian *et al.* (1996). For the design and synthesis of new α -diimine derivatives, see: Yuan et al. (2005); Popeney & Guan (2005, 2010); Popeney et al. (2011). The crystal structures of Re and Ni complexes with the title ligand were reported by Kia et al. (2005) and Yuan et al. (2011), respectively.



Experimental

Crystal data C20H24N2

 $M_r = 292.41$

Orthorhombic, Pbca	
a = 13.50 (1) Å	
b = 7.571 (6) Å	
c = 16.738(12) Å	
V = 1711 (2) Å ³	

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD	
diffractometer	
Absorption correction: multi-scan	
(SADABS; Bruker, 2008)	
$T_{\rm min} = 0.985, T_{\rm max} = 0.991$	

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$	104 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.175$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.05	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.21 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
1592 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.15 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Z = 4

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.23 \times 0.20 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}$

5143 measured reflections 1592 independent reflections

1043 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\mu = 0.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 296 K

 $R_{\rm int}=0.031$

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2008); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2008); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 20964003) for funding. We also thank the Key Laboratory of Eco Environment-Related Polymer Materials of the Ministry of Education and Key Laboratory of Polymer Materials of Gansu Province (Northwest Normal University) for financial support.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CV5204).

References

- Bruker (2008). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA
- Johnson, L. K., Killian, C. M. & Brookhart, M. (1995). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117, 6414-6415
- Kia, R., Mirkhani, V., Deák, A. & Kálmán, A. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m566m567
- Killian, C. M., Tempel, D. J., Johnson, L. K. & Brookhart, M. (1996). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118, 11664-11665.
- Popeney, C. S. & Guan, Z. B. (2005). Organometallics, 24, 1145-1155.
- Popeney, C. S. & Guan, Z. B. (2010). Macromolecules, 43, 4091-4097.
- Popeney, C. S., Levins, C. M. & Guan, Z. B. (2011). Organometallics, 30, 2432-2452
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Yuan, J. C., Mei, T. J., Gomes, P. T., Marques, M. M., Wang, X. H., Liu, Y. F., Miao, C. P. & Xie, X. L. (2011). J. Organomet. Chem. 696, 3251-3256.
- Yuan, J. C., SiIva, L. C., Gomes, P. T., Valerga, P., Campos, J. M., Ribeiro, M. R., Chien, J. C. W. & Marques, M. M. (2005). Polymer, 46, 2122-2132.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, 0164 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811053244]

(Z)-N-[(Z)-3-(2,4-Dimethylphenylimino)butan-2-ylidene]-2,4-dimethylaniline

J. Yuan, C. Miao, W. Xu and B. Yuan

Comment

 α -Diimine ligand nickel catalysts greatly attracted attention due to their high catalytic activity in ethylene polymerization (Johnson *et al.*, 1995; Killian *et al.*, 1996). Design and synthesis of the ligands is crucial (Popeney *et al.*, 2005, 2010, 2011; Yuan *et al.*, 2005). Herewith we present the title compound (I).

In (I) (Fig. 1), the single C—C bond in 1,4-diazabutadiene fragment is *trans*-configured and situated on inversion center. The dihedral angle between the benzene ring and 1,4-diazabutadiene plane is 78.3 (2)°. However, the *trans*-configured ligand can be transformed into *cis*-configured ligand in order to facilitate the formation of α -diimine-metal complexes, for examples, see Yuan *et al.* (2011) for Ni complex, and Kia *et al.* (2005) for Re complex.

Experimental

Formic acid (1 ml) was added to a stirred solution of 2,3-butanedione (0.052 g, 0.6 mmol) and 2,4-dimethylaniline (0.144 g, 1.2 mmol) in methanol (30 ml). The mixture was refluxed for 24 h, then cooled and the precipitate was separated by filtration. The solid was recrystallized from ethanol/dichloromethane (v/v = 8:1), washed and dried under vacuum. Yield: 0.160 g (82%). Crystals suitable for X-ray structure determination were grown from a solution of the title compound in a mixture of cyclohexane/dichloromethane (1:2, v/v).

Refinement

All hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions with C—H distances of 0.93 and 0.96 Å for aryl and methyl type H-atoms, respectively. They were included in the refinement in a riding model approximation, with Uiso = 1.2-1.5 U_{eq}(C).

Figures



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound, with the atom-labelling scheme [symmetry code: (a) 1 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z]. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 30% probability level.

(Z)-N-[(Z)-3-(2,4-Dimethylphenylimino)butan-2-ylidene]- 2,4-dimethylaniline

Crystal data

$C_{20}H_{24}N_2$
$M_r = 292.41$
Orthorhombic, Pbca
<i>a</i> = 13.50 (1) Å

 $D_x = 1.135 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 1144 reflections $\theta = 2.9-23.2^{\circ}$

b = 7.571 (6) Å	$\mu = 0.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 16.738 (12) Å	T = 296 K
$V = 1711 (2) \text{ Å}^3$	Block, yellow
Z = 4	$0.23\times0.20\times0.14~mm$
F(000) = 632	

Data collection

1592 independent reflections
1043 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$R_{\rm int} = 0.031$
$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.4^{\circ}$
$h = -8 \rightarrow 16$
$k = -6 \rightarrow 9$
$l = -16 \rightarrow 20$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.175$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0962P)^2 + 0.2091P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
<i>S</i> = 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$
1592 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.21 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
104 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.15 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	Extinction correction: <i>SHELXL97</i> (Sheldrick, 2008), $Fc^*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
Primary atom site logation: structure invariant direct	

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct Extinction coefficient: 0.009 (4)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
C1	0.60082 (15)	0.9674 (3)	0.65918 (13)	0.0471 (6)
C2	0.69975 (15)	0.9165 (3)	0.66744 (13)	0.0464 (6)
C3	0.72926 (16)	0.8473 (3)	0.74028 (13)	0.0528 (6)
Н3	0.7946	0.8104	0.7460	0.063*
C4	0.66613 (18)	0.8305 (3)	0.80502 (13)	0.0548 (6)
C5	0.56961 (18)	0.8859 (3)	0.79551 (14)	0.0596 (7)
Н5	0.5257	0.8782	0.8382	0.072*
C6	0.53728 (17)	0.9527 (3)	0.72328 (15)	0.0579 (7)
Н6	0.4717	0.9882	0.7178	0.070*
C7	0.77174 (18)	0.9360 (3)	0.59996 (15)	0.0669 (8)
H7A	0.7403	0.9026	0.5508	0.100*
H7B	0.8280	0.8612	0.6092	0.100*
H7C	0.7931	1.0567	0.5966	0.100*
C8	0.7013 (2)	0.7515 (4)	0.88279 (14)	0.0795 (9)
H8A	0.7642	0.6951	0.8747	0.119*
H8B	0.6540	0.6659	0.9011	0.119*
H8C	0.7081	0.8432	0.9220	0.119*
C9	0.51658 (15)	0.9537 (3)	0.53705 (12)	0.0467 (6)
C10	0.48786 (19)	0.7641 (3)	0.54753 (15)	0.0662 (7)
H10A	0.5191	0.7177	0.5946	0.099*
H10B	0.5088	0.6976	0.5017	0.099*
H10C	0.4172	0.7555	0.5530	0.099*
N1	0.56812 (12)	1.0428 (2)	0.58600 (11)	0.0516 (6)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0501 (13)	0.0426 (12)	0.0487 (13)	-0.0029 (9)	-0.0084 (10)	0.0010 (10)
C2	0.0488 (13)	0.0429 (12)	0.0476 (13)	0.0017 (9)	-0.0048 (9)	-0.0027 (10)
C3	0.0475 (12)	0.0526 (13)	0.0583 (14)	0.0050 (10)	-0.0116 (10)	0.0000 (11)
C4	0.0658 (15)	0.0504 (14)	0.0483 (14)	-0.0026 (12)	-0.0108 (11)	0.0014 (11)
C5	0.0630 (15)	0.0636 (16)	0.0523 (14)	0.0035 (12)	0.0046 (11)	0.0049 (12)
C6	0.0482 (12)	0.0610 (16)	0.0646 (15)	0.0054 (11)	-0.0008 (11)	0.0083 (12)
C7	0.0624 (15)	0.0685 (17)	0.0697 (16)	0.0067 (12)	0.0087 (12)	0.0054 (13)
C8	0.0911 (19)	0.089 (2)	0.0584 (16)	-0.0039 (15)	-0.0191 (14)	0.0118 (15)
C9	0.0401 (11)	0.0499 (14)	0.0501 (13)	0.0004 (9)	-0.0031 (9)	0.0045 (10)
C10	0.0783 (17)	0.0551 (15)	0.0652 (16)	-0.0118 (12)	-0.0161 (12)	0.0118 (12)
N1	0.0503 (11)	0.0505 (11)	0.0540 (12)	-0.0017 (8)	-0.0086(9)	0.0083 (9)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—C6	1.378 (3)	С7—Н7А	0.9600
C1—C2	1.397 (3)	С7—Н7В	0.9600
C1—N1	1.421 (3)	С7—Н7С	0.9600
C2—C3	1.385 (3)	C8—H8A	0.9600

supplementary materials

C2—C7	1.497 (3)	С8—Н8В	0.9600
C3—C4	1.384 (3)	C8—H8C	0.9600
С3—Н3	0.9300	C9—N1	1.269 (3)
C4—C5	1.378 (3)	C9—C9 ⁱ	1.494 (4)
C4—C8	1.509 (3)	C9—C10	1.497 (3)
C5—C6	1.381 (3)	C10—H10A	0.9600
С5—Н5	0.9300	C10—H10B	0.9600
С6—Н6	0.9300	C10—H10C	0.9600
C6—C1—C2	119.7 (2)	H7A—C7—H7B	109.5
C6—C1—N1	120.67 (19)	С2—С7—Н7С	109.5
C2—C1—N1	119.5 (2)	Н7А—С7—Н7С	109.5
C3—C2—C1	117.8 (2)	Н7В—С7—Н7С	109.5
C3—C2—C7	121.0 (2)	C4—C8—H8A	109.5
C1—C2—C7	121.2 (2)	C4—C8—H8B	109.5
C4—C3—C2	123.1 (2)	Н8А—С8—Н8В	109.5
С4—С3—Н3	118.4	C4—C8—H8C	109.5
С2—С3—Н3	118.4	Н8А—С8—Н8С	109.5
C5—C4—C3	117.6 (2)	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5
C5—C4—C8	121.2 (2)	N1—C9—C9 ⁱ	116.8 (2)
C3—C4—C8	121.2 (2)	N1—C9—C10	125.18 (19)
C4—C5—C6	120.7 (2)	C9 ⁱ —C9—C10	118.0 (2)
С4—С5—Н5	119.6	C9—C10—H10A	109.5
С6—С5—Н5	119.6	С9—С10—Н10В	109.5
C1—C6—C5	121.0 (2)	H10A-C10-H10B	109.5
С1—С6—Н6	119.5	С9—С10—Н10С	109.5
С5—С6—Н6	119.5	H10A-C10-H10C	109.5
С2—С7—Н7А	109.5	H10B-C10-H10C	109.5
С2—С7—Н7В	109.5	C9—N1—C1	120.87 (19)
C6—C1—C2—C3	1.9 (3)	C8—C4—C5—C6	-177.9 (2)
N1—C1—C2—C3	178.55 (19)	C2—C1—C6—C5	-0.9 (4)
C6—C1—C2—C7	-178.0 (2)	N1-C1-C6-C5	-177.5 (2)
N1—C1—C2—C7	-1.4 (3)	C4—C5—C6—C1	-0.7 (4)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-1.5 (3)	C9 ⁱ —C9—N1—C1	178.3 (2)
C7—C2—C3—C4	178.4 (2)	C10-C9-N1-C1	-2.4 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.0 (3)	C6—C1—N1—C9	-78.8 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C8	179.0 (2)	C2—C1—N1—C9	104.6 (2)
C3—C4—C5—C6	1.1 (4)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, -y+2, -z+1.



Fig. 1